arXiv:1003.5347v3 [math.NT] 4 Aug 2010

On the Restricted Divisor Function in Arithmetic Progressions

Igor E. Shparlinski
Department of Computing, Macquarie University
Sydney, NSW 2109, Australia
igor.shparlinski@mq.edu.au

August 5, 2010

Abstract

We obtain several asymptotic estimates for the sums of the restricted divisor function

$$\tau_{M,N}(k) = \#\{1 \leqslant m \leqslant M,\ 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N : mn = k\}$$

over short arithmetic progressions, which improve some results of J. Truelsen. Such estimates are motivated by the links with the pair correlation problem for fractional parts of the quadratic function αk^2, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ with a real α.

Subject Classification (2010) 11N37

Keywords divisor function, congruences, character sums

1 Introduction

There is a long history of studying the distribution of the divisor function over short arithmetic progressions, see [2, 3, 5, 6, 7] and references therein.

Recently, Truelsen [14] has introduced the restricted divisor function

$$\tau_{M,N}(k) = \#\{1 \leqslant m \leqslant M,\ 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N : mn = k\}$$

and shown its relevance to the *pair correlation problem* for fractional parts of the quadratic function αk^2, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, with a real α, see also [10, 12] for various results and conjectures concerning this problem. In particular, it is conjectured in [14, Conjecture 1.2] that for any fixed $\varepsilon, \delta, c_1, c_2 > 0$, if positive integers N, M, R and q satisfy

$$N \geqslant q^{1/2+\varepsilon} \qquad c_1 N \leqslant M \leqslant c_2 N \qquad R \geqslant N^{\delta},$$

then, uniformly over all integers a with $\gcd(a, q) = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{R} \sum_{k \equiv ar \pmod q} \tau_{M,N}(k) \sim \frac{MNR}{q}. \tag{1}$$

It is also shown in [14] that the asymptotic formula (1) yields explicit examples of real α for which distribution of spacings between the fractional parts of αk^2 is *Poissonian*.

Towards the conjecture (1), several asymptotic formulas and estimates are derived in [14].

In particular, as in [14], for positive integer q, M, N and a divisor $d \mid q$, we consider the sums

$$\Delta_q(d; M, N) = \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ \gcd(a,q)=d}}^{q} \left| \sum_{k \equiv a \pmod q} \tau_{M,N}(k) - \frac{MN}{q^2} \Phi(q, d) \right|^2, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\Phi(q, d) = \sum_{e \mid d} e \sum_{f \mid q/e} f \mu\left(\frac{q}{ef}\right) = \sum_{e \mid d} e \varphi(q/e), \tag{3}$$

see [14, Equation (1.5)] and $\mu(k)$ is the Möbius function. Also as in [14], for positive integer q, M, N and R, we consider the sums

$$\Gamma_q(M, N, R) = \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{r \leqslant R} \sum_{k \equiv ar \pmod q} \tau_{M,N}(k) - \frac{MNR}{q} \right|^2. \tag{4}$$

Here, in Section 3.1, we show that a result of [13] almost instantly implies the estimate of [14, Theorem 1.8] on the sums $\Delta_q(d; M, N)$, and in fact, in a slightly stronger form. Furthermore, using a different technique of multiplicative character sums, in Section 3.2 we obtain a new estimates on the sums $\Gamma_q(M, N, R)$, which for some parameter ranges improves that of [14, Theorem 1.9]. We present our argument only in the case of prime q but combining it with elementary (but somewhat cluttered) sieving it can also be used for arbitrary q.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 General notation and facts

Throughout the paper, any implied constants in symbols O, $\ll$ and $\gg$ may occasionally depend on the positive parameters ε and δ and are absolute otherwise. We recall that the notations $U = O(V)$, $U \ll V$ and $V \gg U$ are all equivalent to the statement that $|U| \leqslant cV$ holds with some constant $c > 0$.

We always assume that the variables which appear in congruences and as arguments of standard arithmetic functions are integers.

We recall that for

$$\varphi(s, K) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant k \leqslant K \\ \gcd(k,s)=1}} 1$$

we have the asymptotic formula

$$\varphi(s, K) = \frac{\varphi(s)}{s} K + O(s^{o(1)}), \tag{5}$$

see [14, Equation (3.1)], that follows from the inclusion-exclusion principle and the well-known bound on the divisor and Euler functions

$$\tau(s) = s^{o(1)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi(s) = s^{1+o(1)},$$

see [9, Theorem 317] and [9, Theorem 328], respectively.

2.2 Character sums

Let Φ_s be the set of all $\varphi(s)$ multiplicative characters modulo s. We also use χ_0 to denote the principal character and

$$\Phi_s^* = \Phi_s \setminus \{\chi_0\}$$

to denote the set of nonprincipal multiplicative characters modulo s.

For an integer Z and $\chi \in \Phi_s$ we define the sums

$$S_s(Z; \chi) = \sum_{z=1}^{Z} \chi(z). \tag{6}$$

The following result is a combination of the Pólya-Vinogradov (for $\nu = 1$) and Burgess (for $\nu \geqslant 2$) bounds, see [11, Theorems 12.5 and 12.6].

Lemma 1. *For a prime s and positive integers $Z \leqslant s$, the bound*

$$\max_{\chi \in \Phi_s^*} |S_s(Z;\chi)| \leqslant Z^{1-1/\nu} s^{(\nu+1)/4\nu^2+o(1)}$$

holds with an arbitrary fixed integer $\nu \geqslant 1$.

We combine Lemma 1 with a bound on the fourth moment of the sums $S_s(Z,t;\chi)$. First we recall the following estimate from [1] (for prime s) and [7] (for arbitrary s), see also [4, 8], which we present in the following slightly relaxed form.

Lemma 2. *For positive integers $Z \leqslant s$, the bound*

$$\sum_{\chi \in \Phi_s^*} |S_s(Z;\chi)|^4 \leqslant s^{1+o(1)} Z^2$$

holds.

2.3 Sums with $\tau_{M,N}(k)$ and congruences

We note that sums of the restricted divisor function over an arithmetic progression can be expressed via the number of solutions to a certain congruence. For example,

$$\sum_{k \equiv a \pmod q} \tau_{M,N}(k) = T_q(M,N;a), \tag{7}$$

where $T_q(M,N;a)$ is number of solutions to the congruence

$$mn \equiv a \pmod q, \qquad 1 \leqslant m \leqslant M,\ 1 \leqslant n \leqslant N. \tag{8}$$

This interpretation underlines our approach.

To estimate the function $T_s(M,N;a)$ it is more convenient to work with the quantity $T_s^*(X,Y;a)$ which is defined as number of solutions to the congruence

$$xy \equiv a \pmod s, \qquad 1 \leqslant x \leqslant X,\ \gcd(x,s) = 1,\ 1 \leqslant y \leqslant Y.$$

One of our main tool is the following special case of [13, Theorem 1], combined with (5).

Lemma 3. *For positive integers s, $X \leqslant Y$, we have*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{s} \left| T_s^*(X,Y;a) - \frac{\varphi(s)}{s^2} XY \right|^2 \leqslant XY s^{o(1)}.$$

We also define $R_s(X, Y, Z; a)$ as number of solutions to the congruence

$$xy \equiv az \pmod{s},$$

with

$$1 \leqslant x \leqslant X, \qquad 1 \leqslant y \leqslant Y, \qquad 1 \leqslant z \leqslant Z.$$

Lemma 4. *For a prime s and positive integers $X, Y, Z < s$ we have*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{s-1} \left| R_s(X, Y, Z; a) - \frac{XYZ}{s-1} \right|^2 \leqslant XYZU^{1-2/\nu} s^{(\nu+1)/2\nu^2+o(1)}$$

where $U = \min\{X, Y, Z\}$ and $\nu \geqslant 1$ is arbitrary fixed positive integer.

Proof. We note that for every a with $\gcd(a, s) = 1$, we obtain

$$R_s(X, Y, Z; a) = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{x=1}^{X} \sum_{y=1}^{Y} \sum_{z=1}^{Z} \sum_{\chi \in \Phi_s} \chi\left(a^{-1}xyz^{-1}\right).$$

Recalling the definition (6), changing the order of summation, using that

$$\chi\left(z^{-1}\right) = \overline{\chi}(z),$$

if $\gcd(z, s) = 1$ where $\overline{\chi}$ is the complex conjugated character, we derive

$$R_s(X, Y, Z; a) = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{\chi \in \Phi_s} \overline{\chi}(a)\, S_s(X; \chi) S_s(Y; \chi) S_s(Z; \overline{\chi}).$$

We now separate the contribution from the principal character $\chi = \chi_0$, getting

$$R_s(X, Y, Z; a) - \frac{XYZ}{s-1} = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{\chi \in \Phi_{s*}} \overline{\chi}(a)\, S_s(X; \chi) S_s(Y; \chi) S_s(Z; \overline{\chi}).$$

Using the orthogonality of characters, we easily derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a=1}^{s} \left| R_s(X, Y, Z; a) - \frac{XYZ}{s-1} \right|^2 & \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(s)} \sum_{\chi \in \Phi_{s*}} |S_s(X, u; \chi)|^2 |S_s(Y, v; \chi)|^2 |S_s(Z; w, \chi)|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(s)} \sum_{\chi \in \Phi_{s*}} |S_s(\widetilde{X}, u; \chi)|^2 |S_s(\widetilde{Y}, v; \chi)|^2 |S_s(\widetilde{Z}; w, \chi)|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for any permutation $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z})$ is any of (X, Y, Z). We now apply Lemma 1 to the last sum and then use the Cauchy inequality, arriving to

$$\sum_{a=1}^{s} \left| R_s(X,Y,Z;a) - \frac{XYZ}{s-1} \right|^2 \leqslant \frac{\widetilde{Z}^{2-2/\nu} s^{(\nu+1)/2\nu^2+o(1)}}{s-1} \sqrt{\sum_{\chi \in \Phi_s *} |S_s(\widetilde{X};\chi)|^4} \sqrt{\sum_{\chi \in \Phi_s *} |S_s(\widetilde{Y};\chi)|^4}.$$

We now choose a permutation $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}, \widetilde{Z})$ with $\widetilde{Z} = U = \min\{X, Y, Z\}$. Using Lemma 2, we obtain the desired result. □

3 Average Values $\tau_{M,N}(k)$ over Some Families of Progressions

3.1 One parameter family of progressions

Here we estimate the sums $\Delta_q(d; M, N)$ given by (2). and show how Lemma 3 implies a stronger and more general form of the estimate [14, Theorem 1.8] which asserts that if $M \ll N \ll M$ then

$$\Delta_q(d; M, N) \leqslant \frac{1}{q} N^{\max\{7/2, 4-\delta\}+o(1)}, \tag{9}$$

uniformly over $q \leqslant N^{2-\delta}$ and $d \mid q$

Theorem 5. *For arbitrary positive integers q, M and N and a divisor $d \mid q$ we have*

$$\Delta_q(d; M, N) \leqslant MNq^{o(1)}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $M \geqslant N$.

For each divisor $e \mid d$, we collect together the solutions to (8) with $\gcd(m, q) = e$, getting

$$T_q(M, N; a) = \sum_{e \mid d} T^*_{q/e}(\lfloor M/e \rfloor, N; a/e).$$

where $T^*_s(X, Y; a)$ is defined in Section 2.3.

Recalling (7) and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_q(d;M,N) &= \sum_{\substack{a=1\\ \gcd(a,q)=d}}^{q}\left|T_q(M,N;a)-\frac{MN}{q^2}\Phi(q,d)\right|^2\\ &= \sum_{\substack{a=1\\ \gcd(a,q)=d}}^{q}\left(\sum_{e|d}\left|T^*_{q/e}(\lfloor M/e\rfloor,N;a/e)-\frac{MNe}{q^2}\varphi(q/e)\right|\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the Cauchy inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta_q(d;M,N)\\ &\qquad\leqslant q^{o(1)}\sum_{e|d}\sum_{\substack{a=1\\ \gcd(a,q)=d}}^{q}\left|T^*_{q/e}(\lfloor M/e\rfloor,N;a/e)-\frac{MNe}{q^2}\varphi(q/e)\right|^2. \quad (10)\end{aligned}$$

We now note that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{MNe}{q^2}\varphi(q/e) &= \frac{(M/e)N}{(q/e)^2}\varphi(q/e)\\ &= \frac{\lfloor M/e\rfloor N}{(q/e)^2}\varphi(q/e)+O(Ne/q)=\frac{\lfloor M/e\rfloor N}{(q/e)^2}\varphi(q/e)+O(Nd/q).\end{aligned}$$

We now see from (10) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta_q(d;M,N)\\ &\qquad\leqslant q^{o(1)}\sum_{e|d}\sum_{\substack{a=1\\ \gcd(a,q)=d}}^{q}\left|T^*_{q/e}(\lfloor M/e\rfloor,N;a/e)-\frac{\lfloor M/e\rfloor N}{(q/e)^2}\varphi(q/e)\right|^2\\ &\qquad\qquad + N^2d^2q^{-2+o(1)}\sum_{e|d}\sum_{\substack{a=1\\ \gcd(a,q)=d}}^{q}1\\ &\qquad\leqslant q^{o(1)}\sum_{e|d}\sum_{\substack{a=1\\ \gcd(a,q)=d}}^{q}\left|T^*_{q/e}(\lfloor M/e\rfloor,N;a/e)-\frac{\lfloor M/e\rfloor N}{(q/e)^2}\varphi(q/e)\right|^2\\ &\qquad\qquad + N^2dq^{-1+o(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Writing $a=ce$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta_q(d;M,N)\\ &\qquad\leqslant q^{o(1)}\sum_{e|d}\sum_{c=1}^{q/e}\left|T^*_{q/e}(\lfloor M/e\rfloor,N;c)-\frac{\lfloor M/e\rfloor N}{(q/e)^2}\varphi(q/e)\right|^2\\ &\qquad\qquad + N^2dq^{-1+o(1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Now recalling Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\Delta_q(d; M, N) \leqslant MNq^{o(1)} + N^2 dq^{-1+o(1)} \leqslant (M+N)Nq^{o(1)}.$$

Since $M \geqslant N$, this concludes the proof. □

Note that the bound of Theorem 5 is more general than (9) as it works for M and N of essentially different sizes and does not need the restriction. In particular, if $M \ll N \ll M$, then this bound takes form $N^2 q^{o(1)}$, which improves (9) for $\delta > 1/2$, that is, for $N \geqslant q^{2/3+\varepsilon}$ for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$.

3.2 Two parameter family of progressions

Note that in [14] the bound (9) has been used to prove several other results. Theorem 5 can be used to get corresponding generalisations and improvements of these bounds. For example, bounds of $\Delta_q(d; M, N)$ are used in [14, Theorem 1.9] to derive the estimate on the sums $\Gamma_q(M, N, R)$ given by (4). In particular, by [14, Theorem 1.9] we have

$$\Gamma_q(M, N, R) \leqslant N^4 R^2 \left(R^{-2} + N^{\max\{-1/2, -\delta\}}\right) q^{-1+o(1)}, \tag{11}$$

provided $M \ll N \ll M$, $R \leqslant q \leqslant N^{2-\delta}$ (note that the condition of [14, Theorem 1.9] that $R \geqslant N^\eta$ for some positive $\eta > 0$ does not seem to be needed for the bound, but the bound is nontrivial only if it is satisfied). The estimate (11) shows that the conjectured asymptotic formula (1) holds on average under appropriate averaging conditions, see [14, Corollary 1.10].

As in the case of $\Delta_q(d; M, N)$, using Theorem 5 one now obtains a similar generalisation and improvement for $\Gamma_q(M, N, R)$. One can probably use similar arguments to sharpen [14, Theorem 4.5] as well.

Furthermore, we now present a different approach, based on Lemma 4, which allows us to obtain estimates on $\Gamma_q(M, N, R)$ that are sometimes stronger that those of [14, Theorem 1.9] or following from Theorem 5. We demonstrate this approach only in the case of prime modulus q. In the general case, one can use it as well, but it involves rather cluttered expressions arising from the inclusion-exclusion principle.

Theorem 6. *For a prime q and positive integers $M, N, R < q$, the bound*

$$\Gamma_q(M, N, R) \leqslant MNRL^{1-2/\nu} q^{(\nu+1)/2\nu^2+o(1)}$$

holds, where $L = \min\{M, N, R\}$ and $\nu \geqslant 1$ is arbitrary fixed positive integer.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5 we see that

$$\Gamma_q(M,N,R) = \sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \left| R_q(M,N,R;a) - \frac{MNR}{q} \right|^2,$$

and using Lemma 4, we conclude the proof. □

For example, if q is prime then for $M, N = q^{2/3+o(1)}$ and $R = q^{1/2+o(1)}$, applying Theorem 6 with $\nu = 2$ we obtain

$$\Gamma_q(M,N,R) \leqslant q^{53/24+o(1)}$$

while (11) gives only

$$\Gamma_q(M,N,R) \leqslant q^{7/3+o(1)}$$

for the above choice of parameters. One can certainly easily produce many other examples of the parameters (M,N,R) for which Theorem 6 is stronger than (11).

As we have said the argument used in the proof of Lemma 4 an thus of Theorem 6 can also be applied in the case of composite q. However we recall that the Burgess bound for character sums modulo a composite q has some limitations on the possible choices of ν, see [11, Theorem 12.6] for details.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Jimi Truelsen and to the referee for very useful comments. During the preparation of this paper, the author was supported in part by ARC grant DP1092835.

References

[1] A. Ayyad, T. Cochrane and Z. Zheng, 'The congruence $x_1x_2 \equiv x_3x_4 \pmod p$, the equation $x_1x_2 = x_3x_4$ and the mean value of character sums', *J. Number Theory*, **59** (1996), 398–413.

[2] W. D. Banks, D. R. Heath-Brown and I. E. Shparlinski, 'On the average value of divisor sums in arithmetic progressions', *Intern. Math. Research Notices*, **2005** (2005), 1–25.

[3] V. Blomer, The average value of divisor sums in arithmetic progressions', *Quart. J. Math.*, **59** (2008), 275–286.

[4] T. Cochrane and S. Sih, 'The congruence $x_1x_2 \equiv x_3x_4 \pmod{p}$ and mean values of character sums', *J. Number Theory*, **130** (2010), 767–785.

[5] É. Fouvry, 'Sur le probléme des diviseurs de Titchmarsh', *J. Reine Angew Math.*, **357** (1985), 51–76.

[6] J. B. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, 'Incomplete Kloosterman sums and a divisor problem', *Ann. Math.*, **121** (1985), 319–350.

[7] J. B. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, 'The divisor problem for arithmetic progressions', *Acta Arith.*, **45** (1985), 273–277.

[8] M. Z. Garaev and V. Garcia, 'The equation $x_1x_2 = x_3x_4+\lambda$ in fields of prime order and applications', *J. Number Theory*, **128** (2008), 2520–2537.

[9] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1979.

[10] D. R. Heath-Brown, 'Pair correlation for fractional parts of αn^2', *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **148** (2010), 385–407.

[11] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[12] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, 'The distribution of spacings between the fractional parts of $n^2\alpha$', *Invent. Math.*, **145** (2001), 37–57.

[13] I. E. Shparlinski, 'Distribution of inverses and multiples of small integers and the Sato–Tate conjecture on average', *Michigan Math. J.*, **56** (2008), 99–111.

[14] J. L. Truelsen, 'Divisor problems and the pair correlation for the fractional parts of $n^2\alpha$', *Intern. Math. Research Notices*, (to appear).